## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From INTER	the RNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	DRITY		REC'D 0 6 MAY 2005	
To:				P CWPO PCT		
					FCI	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	mational application N T/IL2004/001168	Vo.	International filing date (c 26.12.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.01.2004	
Inter	national Patent Class	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
1	6T17/50, G06T5/					
	licant					
ALC	GOTEC SYSTEM	IS LTD.		<u> </u>		
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	☐ Box No. i Basis of the opinion					
}	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
ł	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive stapplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					o novelty, inventive step or industrial stement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum				
	☐ Box No. VII		ts in the international app			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internatio	nal application		
2. FURTHER ACTION						
	written opinion of the applicant characternational Bu will not be so co	of the Internation ooses an Autho reau under Rule onsidered.	nal Preliminary Examinir rity other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o	o be the IPEA and the opinions of this internal	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority	
	cultimit to the ID	EA a written rep a date of mailing	siv together where anni	opriate, with amenium	e IPEA, the applicant is Invited to nents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Nar	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	Hillory Friends	
1 _				ì	AT A SE	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 ·

Herter, J

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7478



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/001168

_	Box	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	Wit the	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and eary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material:							
	ĺ		a sequence listing				
	ſ		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. fe	orm	at of material:				
	i		in written format				
	[	<u> </u>	in computer readable form				
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:				
	1		contained in the international application as filed.				
	ŧ		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	Į		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

4. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/001168

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-33

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-33

Yes: Claims

1-33

Industrial applicability (IA)

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: US 2002/100009 A1 (Xing et al.) 25 July 2002
  - D2: Sethian: "Evolution, implementation, and application of level set and fast marching methods for advancing fronts" Journal of Computational Physics Academic Press USA, vol. 169, no. 2, 20 May 2001, pages 503-555
  - D3: Deschamps et al.: "Fast extraction of minimal paths in 3D images and applications to virtual endoscopy" Medical Image Analysis, Oxford University Press, Oxford, GB, vol. 5, 2001, pages 281-299, cited in the application
- 2. <u>Item V:</u> Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 2.1 The present application meets the requirements of Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT because the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be novel and inventive, the reasons being as follows:

As to claim 1:

D1 discloses:

- A method of finding a path from a start point to a target point, in multi-dimensional space (see abstract, lines 1-4 and page 1, paragraph [0012]), comprising:
- (a) determining a plurality of points in a physical space, including a start point and an target point (see page 1, paragraph [0012] and page 3, paragraph [0049]);
- (b) computing, using a cost function, for said points an accumulated path cost from the start point to a point; representing a minimal cost path from the start point to the point with respect to an optimization criteria (see page 1, paragraph [0014] and page 3, paragraphs [0056]-[0057]);
- © computing for at least some of said points an estimated-cost-to-target from a point to the target point (see page 3, paragraphs [0056]-[0057] supported by page 5, paragraphs [0068]-[0073] and figures 22 and 23); and
- wherein the determination is based on said accumulated path costs, and is substantially minimal with respect to the optimization criteria (see page 3, paragraph [0057]).

D1 however fails to disclose:

- (d) after computing said costs, determining at least one of a minimal path or a minimal path cost of a path from the start point to the target point in the physical space.

The system of D1 determines the minimal path in a tile graph (see page 3, paragraphs [0053]-[0057]) and not in the physical space.

An inventive step can be acknowledged.

- 2.2 The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2.3 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2.4 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.